

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,111		04/20/2004	Caph Chen	04145-URS	04145-URS 5183	
33804	7590	11/03/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
SUPREM POST OFF		IT SERVICES	ZAMAN, FAISAL M			
SARATO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2112		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/829,111	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Faisal Zaman	2112				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 /	<u>April 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of the control o	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 3) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate eatent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szabelski (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0168001), in view of Senior et al. ("Senior") (U.S. Patent No. 6,898,654).

Regarding Claim 1, Szabelski discloses a USB hub (title, abstract), comprising:

An upstream interface port to be operated under the USB transmission control protocol for serving as a data-switching communication port of relevant devices (Page 1, paragraph 5);

A hub device comprised of a hub control unit, a packet-switching unit, a router, and a repeater; in which the repeater is employed to receive signals and retransmit the same to a designated device (Page 1, paragraph 6); the hub control unit ascertains the data transmission speed thereof for controlling the repeater to transmit data to the router or the packet-switching unit (Figure 1, item 14, Page 2, paragraph 25, "hub controller"); the packet-switching unit is a device for switching a high-speed data stream to a full/low speed data stream (Figure 1, item 20, Page 2, paragraph 25, "transaction translator"); the router is employed to distribute and transmit a data stream to each

Application/Control Number: 10/829,111

Art Unit: 2112

device connected with the downstream interface port (Figure 1, item 20, Page 2, paragraph 25, "transaction translator"), or distribute and transmit an upstream data stream from the downstream interface port to the repeater or the packet-switching unit; and

A downstream interface port to be operated also under USB transmission control protocol, being provided with a plurality of interface ports to serve as a data-switching communication port of relevant devices (Page 1, paragraph 5).

Szabelski does not, however, disclose wherein the hub control unit detects the connection state of devices to be connected with the upstream and a downstream interface port.

In the same field of endeavor (e.g. devices transferring data on a Universal Serial Bus), Senior discloses wherein the hub control unit detects the connection state of devices to be connected with the upstream and a downstream interface port (Senior, Column 2, lines 1-3).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated Senior's teachings of a hub in which devices transfer data over USB to the teachings of Szabelski, for the purpose of having a plurality of peripherals communicate with a computer system via a bus (see Senior, Column 1, lines 6-8). It would obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to combine the two so that the hub control unit of Szabelski would have more control of the devices attached to the hub.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,111

Art Unit: 2112

Regarding Claim 3, Szabelski discloses a USB hub with built-in storage device (title, abstract), comprising:

An upstream interface port to be operated under the USB transmission control protocol for serving as a data-switching communication port of relevant devices (Page 1, paragraph 5);

A hub device comprised of a hub control unit, a packet-switching unit, a router, a built-in storage device, and a repeater; in which the repeater is employed to receive signals and retransmit the same to a designated device (Page 1, paragraph 6); the hub control unit ascertains the data transmission speed thereof for controlling the repeater to transmit data to the router or the packet-switching unit (Figure 1, item 14, Page 2, paragraph 25, "hub controller"); the packet-switching unit is a device for switching a high-speed data stream to a full/low speed data stream (Figure 1, item 20, Page 2, paragraph 25, "transaction translator"); the router is employed to distribute and transmit a data stream to each device connected with the downstream interface port (Figure 1, item 20, Page 2, paragraph 25, "transaction translator"), or distribute and transmit an upstream data stream from the downstream interface port to the repeater or the packet-switching unit; the built-in storage device is employed to memorize downstream data transmitted to the downstream interface port (Figure 1, item 30, Page 2, paragraph 28); and

A downstream interface port to be operated also under USB transmission control protocol, being provided with a plurality of interface ports to serve as a data-switching communication port of relevant devices (Page 1, paragraph 5).

Szabelski does not, however, disclose wherein the hub control unit detects the connection state of devices to be connected with the upstream and a downstream interface port.

In the same field of endeavor, Senior discloses wherein the hub control unit detects the connection state of devices to be connected with the upstream and a downstream interface port (Senior, Column 2, lines 1-3).

The motivation that was utilized in the combination of Claim 1, super, applies equally as well to Claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szabelski and Senior as applied to Claims 1 and 3 above, and in further view of Kubo et al. ("Kubo") (U.S. Patent No. 6,671,814) and Koyama et al. ("Koyama") (U.S. Patent No. 6,744,698).

Kubo discloses the following limitations:

Wherein the hub device is provided with an external power supply unit (Kubo, abstract, Figure 3, item S2, Column 2, lines 1-9); each interface port of the downstream

Application/Control Number: 10/829,111

Art Unit: 2112

interface port possesses an on/off switch of power supply (Kubo, Figure 1, item 3, Column 4, lines 1-2);

Szabelski discloses wherein the hub control unit is assigned to manage the device connected with each interface port of the downstream interface port (Szabelski, Page 2, paragraph 25),

However, Koyama discloses the following limitation, which neither Kubo nor Szabelski and Senior disclose:

Wherein a control unit detects the electrical load thereof, and controls the on/off switch of power supply to either connect with an internal power source or connect with the external power supply unit (Koyama, Column 1, lines 13-37, since it is said that the method disclosed in Koyama is often used with portable electronic devices, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that once the switch in Koyama is turned off, power would be received from an external power supply rather than from the battery).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Kubo's teachings of a USB interface capable of turning off the power supply from the host thereto without the need of withdrawing the USB connector when stopping its operation, and Koyama's teachings of an electronic device that operates with a battery as the power source to the teachings of Szabelski as modified, for the purpose of reducing power consumption of a host which supplies power (see Kubo, Column 1, lines 6-10). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the inventions discussed in order to have an efficient

Art Unit: 2112

power supply system in each of the inventions (see Koyama Column 2 lines 5-10, and Senior Column 1 lines 52-57).

Prior Art of Record

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0120157) discloses a USB smart switch with packet re-ordering for interleaving among multiple flash-memory endpoints aggregated as a single virtual USB endpoint. Huang (U.S. Patent No. 6,722,917) discloses a USB hub to connect a plurality of USB devices together.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faisal Zaman whose telephone number is 571-272-6459. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,111 Page 8

Art Unit: 2112

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fmz

HEHANA PERVEEN AMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER